



**PLATTE CANYON SCHOOL DISTRICT No. 1
BAILEY, COLORADO**

**REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF
FEDERAL AWARDS AND THE INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORTS**

**FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED
JUNE 30, 2025**

PLATTE CANYON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

June 30, 2025

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Single Audit

Independent Auditor’s Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program,
Internal Control over Compliance, and the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal
Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance 1

Independent Auditor’s Report on Compliance for a Federal Program and Report on Internal Control
Over Compliance for Special Education – Grants to States (IDEA Part B)..... 3

Independent Auditor’s Report on Compliance for a Federal Program and Report on Internal Control
Over Compliance for Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants 6

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards 9

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards 10

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs 11

COMPLIANCE SECTION

SINGLE AUDIT

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT

Board of Education
Platte Canyon School District No. 1
Bailey, Colorado

Report on the Audit of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Opinion

We have audited the schedule of expenditures of federal awards for the Special Education – Grants to States (IDEA, Part B) and the Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants of the Platte Canyon School District No.1 (the District) for the year ended June 30, 2025, and the related notes (the schedule).

In our opinion, the accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards presents fairly, in all material respects, the expenditures of federal awards for the Special Education – Grants to States (IDEA, Part B) and the Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants of the District for the year ended June 30, 2025, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of the Schedule section of our report. We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Responsibilities of Management for the Schedule

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the schedule in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the schedule that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Schedule

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the schedule as a whole is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the schedule.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the schedule, whether due to fraud, or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the schedule.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the schedule.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

DMC Auditing and Consulting, LLC

December 22, 2025
Bailey, Colorado

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR A FEDERAL PROGRAM
AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE**

Board of Education
Platte Canyon School District No. 1
Bailey, Colorado

Report on Compliance for Special Education – Grants to States (IDEA, Part B)

Opinion on Compliance for Special Education – Grants to States (IDEA, Part B)

We have audited Platte Canyon School District No. 1’s (the District) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on its Special Education – Grants to States (IDEA, Part B) for the year ended June 30, 2025.

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its Special Education – Grants to States (IDEA, Part B) for the year ended June 30, 2025.

Basis for Opinion on Special Education – Grants to States (IDEA, Part B)

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Award* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for Special Education – Grants to States (IDEA, Part B). Our audit does not provide a legal determination of District’s compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to Special Education – Grants to States (IDEA, Part B).

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on District's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the District's compliance with the requirements of the federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the District's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the District's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Board of Education
Platte Canyon School District No. 1

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

DMC Auditing and Consulting, LLC

Bailey, Colorado
December 22, 2025

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR’S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR A FEDERAL PROGRAM
AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE**

Board of Education
Platte Canyon School District No. 1
Bailey, Colorado

Report on Compliance for Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants

Opinion on Compliance for Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants

We have audited Platte Canyon School District No. 1’s (the District) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on its Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants for the year ended June 30, 2025.

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants for the year ended June 30, 2025.

Basis for Opinion on Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Award* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of District’s compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on District's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the District's compliance with the requirements of the federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the District's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the District's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Board of Education
Platte Canyon School District No. 1

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

DMC Auditing and Consulting, LLC

Bailey, Colorado
December 22, 2025

PLATTE CANYON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
For the Year Ended June 30, 2025

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/ Program or Cluster Title	Federal Assistance Number	Pass-Through Entity's Indentification Number	Total Federal Expenditures
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION			
<i>Direct</i>			
Rural Education	84.358	4358	<u>24,511</u>
<i>Passed-Through the Colorado Department of Education</i>			
Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	4010	122,867
Migrant Education State Grant Program	84.011	6010	4,946
Special Education Grants to States (2)	84.027	4027	225,936
Supporting Effective Instruction State Grants	84.367	4367	24,978
Student Support and Academic Enrichment Program	84.424	4424	10,734
Education Stabilization Fund - COVID 19	84.425U	4462	66,191
Education Stabilization Fund - COVID 19	84.425U	4414	<u>22,200</u>
<i>Total Passed Through the Colorado Department of Education</i>			<u>477,852</u>
Total U.S. Department of Education			<u>502,363</u>
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
<i>Passed-Through the Colorado Department of Education</i>			
National School Lunch Program (1)	10.555	4555	142,668
School Breakfast Program (1)	10.553	4553	<u>30,787</u>
Total Passed-Through the Colorado Department of Education			<u>173,455</u>
<i>Passed-Through the Action for Health Kids</i>			
Child Nutrition Discretionary Grants Limited Availability	10.579	8579	<u>173</u>
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			<u>173,628</u>
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE			
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	16.710	4058	<u>279,774</u>
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			<u><u>\$ 955,765</u></u>
(1) Part of the Child Nutrition Cluster, total Cluster expenditures	173,455		
(2) Special Education Cluster, total Cluster expenditures	225,936		

See notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

PLATTE CANYON SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1
NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
Year Ended June 30, 2025

NOTE 1: Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*, using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in the financial statements. The District does not charge a de minimis indirect cost rate. Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the District, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position or fund balance, or cash flows of the District.

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Non-cash expenditures are included in the schedule.

NOTE 2: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Governmental fund types account for the majority of the District's federal grant activity. Expenditures reported in the schedule of expenditures of federal awards are recognized on a modified basis of accounting. Subrecipient expenditures are recorded on a cash basis. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or limited as to reimbursement. Non-cash expenditures are included in the schedule.

NOTE 3: Indirect Cost Rate

The District has not elected to use the 10% de minimis cost rate.

NOTE 4: Subrecipients

The District passed through \$162,854 from the Special Education – Grants to States award to the Blue Sky Board of Cooperative Education Services.

SECTION I: SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of report the auditor issued on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA): Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting on SEFA:

- Material weaknesses identified? Yes No
- Significant deficiencies identified? Yes None Reported

Noncompliance material to the SEFA noted? Yes No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major federal programs:

- Material weaknesses identified? Yes No
- Significant deficiencies identified? Yes None Reported

Type of auditor’s report issued on compliance for major federal programs: Unmodified

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? Yes No

Identification of major federal programs:

<u>Federal Assistance Listing Number</u>	<u>Name of Federal Cluster/Program</u>
84.027	Special Education Cluster
16.710	Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants

Dollar threshold used to distinguish Between Type A and Type B programs: \$750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes No

SECTION II: SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS

No current year findings or questioned costs were reported.

SECTION III: FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No current year findings or questioned costs were reported.